International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

# A STUDY ON THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR AGILE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS

Dr.krishan kumar

Assistant professor. S.K.GOVERNMENT COLLEEGE KANWALI,REWARI . krishankajal13180@gmail.com

# **ABSTARCT**

The most significant method for establishing whether or not a product is of adequate quality is software testing. Despite this, testing software with an agile methodology is exceedingly tough and offers numerous challenges. The primary goal of this project will be to conduct an inquiry into the quality evaluation for agile software solutions. Based on the findings of this study, it was proposed that an updated version of the agile quality model be used to evaluate the agile software development process. The Fuzzy Step-Wise Weight Assessment Ration Analysis (Fuzzy-SWARA) method is a multicriteria decision-making strategy for determining the weight coefficients of the indicated quality indicators. This aids in the estimate of quality for various software-based projects carried out in an agile environment. The end result shows a good correlation of quality measures, indicating that the proposed method is widely used in the software industry.

**Keywords:** Agile Software Products; Quality assessments; Agile environment; Fuzzy – SWARA Method; Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Approach.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Agile approach is becoming increasingly popular among businesses all over the world as a means of producing their software products. This is because Agile approach promises to produce products that are both faster and of greater quality. Trends for testing software development methodologies demonstrate that agile practises are being adapted to the workplace setting as more firms employ agilelike software development practises (Atawneh, 2019). Software testing ensures that the final product is exactly what you expected to build based on the system requirements. Furthermore, it is possible to detect flaws and defects in the system that may improve the quality of the programme, and it checks to see if there are any problems that may render the software useless (Sophocleous &Kapitsaki, 2020). Testing is becoming a crucial part of other development phases because to agile methodologies, which also guarantee consistent product quality (Honest, 2019).

According to the findings, an enhanced version of the agile quality model should be built and utilised to evaluate the agile software development process. The Fuzzy Step-Wise Weight Assessment Ration Analysis (Fuzzy-SWARA) method is a multi-criteria decision-making strategy

#### Dr.krishan kumar (June 2023). A STUDY ON THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR AGILE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS

International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

for determining the weight coefficients of the indicated quality indicators. This aids in the estimate of quality for various software-based projects carried out in an agile environment. The result shows a significant connection of quality values, implying that the proposed approach will be adopted by the software industry.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

The authors **Sinha & Das (2021)** recognised that "change is the only constant" applies to the software industry. Most IT businesses now use Agile to produce software quickly. Few firms use the Traditional Waterfall Model for software development. Quality assurance and testing are crucial phases in software development. This discussion included software testing implementation and agile approach. The authors also compared Agile testing to Waterfall testing.

The **Barraood et al., (2021)** study examines Agile software testing practises. Acceptance criteria, iteration modification, and quality assurance activities are used to compare. Identifying these methodologies' similarities and differences, especially in test case creation, is the goal. The study examines XP, Scrum, and Kanban. The review showed no difference in test case design methodologies between these three methods. This result might assist Agile developers and testers create test cases using the same strategy in different Agile techniques.

According to **Poth et al. (2020)**, established domains in highly regulated environments require a methodical approach to scale agile approaches and ensure regulatory compliance. This paper provides a structured way to building a systematic strategy for small agile teams. It scales to larger teams or even subsidiaries and is independent of the underlying approach like Scrum or Kanban. Its compliance and quality risk dimensions meet regulatory criteria. Over 100 developers in one subsidiary validated the methodology in financial IT.

According to **Jain**, **Sharma & Ahuja (2019)**, Agile outperformed traditional methods. Rapid development, review, demonstrable progress, and continual delivery satisfy client needs. Thus, agile development must be measured. In this regard, the present research effort explores the interrelationships and inter-dependencies between the identified quality factors (QF), identifying which QF have strong driving power and dependence power, indirectly helping agile development process success. This study offers a new agile quality model using interpretive structural modelling (ISM) and MICMAC to classify the observed elements. Understanding how these QF interact and how to use them can substantially improve agile development.

From this detailed research, it is apparent that adopting agile practices in an organization can provide several challenges that may affect software product quality. To evaluate agile software development, the research advises implementing an improved agile quality model.

#### Dr.krishan kumar (June 2023). A STUDY ON THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR AGILE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS

International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

## **METHODOLOGY**

According to the findings, an enhanced version of the agile quality model should be built and utilised to evaluate the agile software development process. The Fuzzy Step-Wise Weight Assessment Ration Analysis (Fuzzy-SWARA) method is a multi-criteria decision-making strategy for determining the weight coefficients of the indicated quality indicators. This aids in the quality estimate of various software-based projects carried out in an agile environment. In order to evaluate the quality of every given agile project, the agile quality model is first transformed into a more hierarchical structure. In addition, the investigation revealed that functionality, maintainability, and reliability (FMR) were the three elements that had the most significant impact on the creation of software. This was discovered after it was determined which factors had been investigated. It is required to define the selected elements and to build methods for assessing these aspects in order to meet the quality standards in order to evaluate the overall quality of a software product that was built using an agile development approach. Only then can the overall quality of the software product be evaluated. Next, an MCDM technique known as the Fuzzy Step-Wise Weight Assessment Ratio Analysis (FuzzySWARA) approach is utilised in order to conduct the evaluation.

# **RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

The study was conducted in an agile setting, and the agile manifesto was taken into account throughout the process. Due to the fact that the projects were conducted in classrooms, only limited testing was done on them. However, the institute is currently utilising an attendance monitoring system as well as an online complaint management system. Both of these systems are operating flawlessly with very little restrictions. The procedure for preparing the end-of-semester results is now being used, but its efficiency is not particularly high due to the fact that it is repeated twice a year when the annual results are announced. In addition, the charge module software system was just recently constructed, and the account department is currently testing it as well as using it. The metric values of each of the criteria that are being considered for weight assignment are compiled for each of the four projects, and then the overall quality of each classroom-based project is determined by applying the middle relative fuzzy weights to the results of the calculation. For the purpose of determining the overarching quality of the software projects, it is necessary to conduct an analysis based on the hierarchical agile quality model that has been proposed. These four factors include the effectiveness of defect eradication, the correctness and completeness of functional operations, and the productivity of software development initiatives. In order to obtain the values of these factors, we need to obtain the values of the other factors that have been defined. All of the values were derived from the information that was gathered for each of these four software projects, as can be seen in the figure that follows.

International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

	Project Metrics Data									cs Data					
									DRE						
			User Stories		P	User Stories		Story Points		1		Pre- Delivery defects (defects from all	Post –	Efficie (E/ (E+	Removal ncy (%) D) )*100
Project	t#	Project#	Planned	(Delivere d)Comple ted	Accepted	Project Goal (%)	Acceptan e (%)	Planned	Actual	Project Goal (%)	Efficiency (%)	QC / QA activities) (E)	Delivery Defects (D)	Project Goal (%)	Actual (%)
Attendance M	onitoring				пострана	(,	(12)				()	(-/	(-)	(,	,,,,
System		1	18	18	18	93	100	25	31	0	0.24	35	2	95	95
End Semester	Result									_	0.0470040		_		0.5
Preparation		3	12 10	12 10		93 93	33 100	23 18	28 21		0.2173913 0.16666667	18 25	4 6	95 95	
Fee Module System Online Complaint		3	10	10	10	93	100	10	21	U	0.10000001	25	0	93	91
Management System		4	19	19	28	93	147	26	33	0	0.26923077	15	3	95	83
Sheet															
DD					SSF						Productivity				
No. of Story Points completed	Total Defects Found(de ects remove per sprin	efects und(def ects moved Project			Planned Effort (in Hrs)	Actual Effort (in Project Hrs) Goal (%) Actual (%)					No. of Story Points Complete d	Actual Effort (in Hrs)	(Sto		-
31	37	0.19	5	1	500	)	726	0		0.452	31	726		0.2	0.04
28	22		0.15	1	1063	+	1425	0	0.3405		28	1425		0.2	0.02
33	31 18		0.15	1	1240		1350	0	0.0887	87156	33	1350		0.2	0.02
33	10		0.13	1	1308	1	1032	U	0.295	07130	33	1033		0.2	0.02

Figure 1: Data Collection for Four Web Based Projects

Calculations can also be made on the quality value of the other three projects. The following table presents an overview of the overall quality results for each of the four projects:

Table 1: Agile Projects Quality Evaluation

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). ISSN: 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

PROJECT	FUCTIONAL	FUNCTIONAL	DEFECT REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	EFFICIENCY	OVERALL QUALITY VALUE	PROJECT QUALITY (IN %)
Project 1: Attendance monitoring system	0.244	0.141	0.261	0.055	0.701	70.1%
Project 2: End semester result preparation system	0.097	0.029	0.002	0.050	0.402	40.2%
Project 3: Fee Module Software System	0.014	0.096	0.222	0.039	0.371	37.1%
Project 4: Online Complaint Management System	0.241	0.0	0.228	0.062	0.531	53.1%

# CONCLUSION

The final quality values on a scale of zero to one and the FMR for each project can be calculated based on the aforementioned data. Project 1: Attendance Monitoring System came out to be an excellent quality project with high values for functionality, maintainability, and dependability in accordance with the overall ideals. Project 4: Online Compliant Management System appears to be the second-best quality project, with 53.1%, when the projects are arranged in increasing order. Then came Project 2: A system for preparing end-of-semester results, and finally Project 3: The fee module software system, which had the lowest quality rating. Projects 1 and 4 appear to be doing

© 2023 by The Author(s). (©) ISSN: 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

#### Dr.krishan kumar (June 2023). A STUDY ON THE QUALITY ASSESSMENT FOR AGILE SOFTWARE PRODUCTS

International Journal of Economic Perspectives,17(06) 211-216
Retrieved from <a href="https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal">https://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal</a>

better than the other two projects when these four projects are used in a real-world setting. The overall quality of each of the four projects is assessed and contrasted with their actual results. The quality attained using the suggested strategy was discovered to be compatible with the projects employed. This demonstrates strong association and suggests that the proposed quality model is accepted for use in assessing the general quality of any product created in an agile environment.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. 1. S. Atawneh (2019). An examination of the current state of agile software development. 97(22), Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology.
- 2. 2. Barraood, S. O., Mohd, H., and F. Baharom (2021). A comparison study of agile software testing operations. In the International Conference on Knowledge Management (KMICe) (Vol. 2021).
- 3. 3.N. Honest (2019). Nirali, what is the role of testing in the software development life cycle? 7(5), 886-889, International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering.
- 4. 4. P. Jain, A. Sharma, and L. Ahuja (2019). A customised quality model for ensuring software quality in an agile setting. 14(3), 64-77, International Journal of Information Technology and Web Engineering (IJITWE).
- 5. 5. A. Poth, J. Jacobsen, and A. Riel (2020). Agile development that is systematic in controlled situations. Proceedings of the 27th European Conference on Systems, Software, and Services Process Improvement, EuroSPI 2020, Düsseldorf, Germany, September 9-11, 2020 (pp. 191-202). International Springer Publishing.
- 6. 6. Sinha, A., and P. Das (2021, September). Agile methodology vs. traditional waterfall methods SDLC: A case study of the software development life cycle. The 5th International Conference on Electronics, Materials Engineering, and Nano-Technology (IEMENTech) will be held in 2021 (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
- 7. 7. Sophocleous, R., and G. M. Kapitsaki (2020). Examining the Current State of Quality Assurance System Testing Methodologies. V. Stray, R. Hoda, M. Paasivaara, and P. Kruchten (Eds.). Extreme Programming and Agile Processes in Software Engineering. XP 2020., 240–249. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-49392-9\_16