*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

# **Individual And Collective Pricing Strategies For A Multi-Layer, Multi-Channel Supply Chain**

# **With Suggested Selling Price By Manufacturer**

Kailash Chandra Sharam<sup>1</sup>, Krapal Singh<sup>2</sup>, Atmaram Nigwal<sup>3</sup> and Dharamender Singh<sup>4\*</sup>  $1, 2 \& 4$ Department of Mathematics, Maharani Shri Jaya Govt. College Bharatpur, Affiliated (Maharaja Surajmal Brij University, Bharatpur, Rajasthan) **E-mail:** devkraha@gmail.com, **E-mail**: ks.pragya@gmail.com <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Ujjain Engineering College Ujjain, M. P., India **E-mail:** arnwnigwal@gmail.com **\*Corresponding Author E-mail**: dharamender.singh6@gmail.com

#### **Abstract**

This article analyses a pricing policy and coordination among members of a three-layer, multichannel and multi-echelon supply chain,consisting of manufacturers, distributors and retailers. The demand is considered a linear declining function of time and selling price at the retailer's end for a single item. The maximum profit function per unit of time is earned for each supply chain member subjected to the expenditures. In urban areas, holding cost of goods is more expensive than ruler areas, so a holding cost-sharing concept among the distributors and retailers is considered in this article. In this study we have maximized the initial lot size, selling price and replenishment time for retailers, initial lot size, and wholesale price for distributors and manufacturers. This study is analysed in two frameworks first one is decentralized, and other one is centralized. The optimality conditions of each supply chain member's profit function have been derived with respect to the decision variables and propositions. The results are shown in the data table to illustrate the model. We have also done sensitivity analysis with numerical examples.

**Key Words and Phrases:** Selling Price, Holding Cost, Multi-Layer Multi-Channel, Supply Chain, Individual, Collective Strategies.

## **AMS Subject Classification:** 90B05, 90B30, 90B50

# **1. Introduction**

Globalization has developed the complexity of coordination among supply chain members, and recently from the past one-decade globalization has increased exponentially, consequently, the supply chain sector faces major problems. Due to a lack of co-ordination, the needs of consumers are not satisfied over time and therefore the earnings of supply chain members are affected. To tackle these types of problems, increase earnings, and fulfill the customers' needs within time, many models/articles have been designed by many authors and researchers of supply chain management in this research area. In 1994 Parlar and Weng[18] developed a supply chain inventory model for a single supplier and single retailer under the situation of manufacturer's Stackelberg in

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

which they considered a concept of quality discount scheme. Further, the model of Parlar and Weng[18] is extended by Weng[26] which comprised a single supplier and and multi distributors under the situation of increasing quantity discount policies with lot size. For the development of an inventory model, a relationship is required between price and demand and a very often a convenient price-demand relationship function is chosen arbitrary, but Lau and Lau[2] andGunasekaran& Kobu [3]suggested a model in which they used different price-demand relationship curve's shape and studied the effects on model output.

Recently, it has been observed that most of the researcher'sstudytwo-layer supply chain problems but in real life supply chain networks are more complex and each stage has more supply chain members. Daya et al.[17] formulated a model for a three-layer supply chain which consisted a one manufacturer, one supplier and multi-retailers. Chan et al.[9] optimized the production rate for exponential deteriorating single item for an integrated two-layer supply chain model, consisting of a single vendor and a retailer. This study is an advanced mathematical model compared to traditional by considering variable production rate. Mehata et al.[21] formulated dynamic decision strategies for deteriorating items under price inflation and permissible payment delays. In this study, he adopted an iso-elastic and selling price-dependent demand and optimized retail price replenishment time and finite time horizon. Hariom et al.[15] suggested an inventory model for time-dependent linear demand under three levels of production system considering shortage. Pal et al. [8],Chang et al. [10] and Fang et al. [20]have suggested an optimal decision policy for a dual supply chain ina competitive environment considering green supply chain strategies and promotional efforts.

Lin et al.[13] developed an inventory model for a deteriorating item which is deteriorate in quality and quantity. This study is designed for two stage trade credit policy and optimized the retailers responses when involving both quality and quantity losses. Singh et al.[14] designed an inventory model of an supply chain for deteriorating item under selling price. This study optimized retailer's replenishment rate when demand rate is declining with time. Cardenas- Barron and Sana[16] formulated two two-layer supply chain inventory model for a promotional efforts cost sensitive demand incorporating a delay of payment is offered by supplier to the retailer. Pal et al.[7] formulated the optimal lot size formulas for supplier and production rate for manufacturers under three stage trade credit financing policy for three layer supply chain which consisted supplier, manufacturer and retailers.In this study they assumed that, sequentially pro- vides a fixed credit

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

period by supplier to the manufacturer and manufacturer provides to the retailer and retailers provide, to the customers.

Zhao and Chen[24] analyzed the pricing strategies for a two-echelon supply chain inventory model which consisted a single manufacturer and two retailers. Song and He et al.[27] Designed a three-layer supply inventory model for products of the agricultural sector. The study is developed for two different strategies which one are centralized and decentralized and optimized unit online selling price, unit logistics distribution price, fresh-keeping effort. Giri et al.[6] investigated a coordination issue in a three-layer supply chain with single raw material supplier and single manufacturer and single retailer under the demand is not deterministic. The retailing price, sales effort, and order quantity are determined and optimized at retailers ends. The profit functions of both supply chain member are optimized under two different strategies, decentralized and centralized. Singh et al.[12] Suggested a co-ordination policy for a production system considering finished products and raw materials under different situations. Xu et al. [25] suggested a supply chain coordination policy for an online platform under the green channel supply chain technology.

A three-layer multi-channel and multi-echelon supply chain inventory model is formulated by Modak et al. [19] for a single item. A reverse supply chain coordination policy for multi-collector, multi- distributors and single manufacturers is developed by Nigwal et al.[4]. Shaikh et al.[1] developed two level trade credit policy considering with expiration rate and impact of in their demand under nonzero inventory and partial backlogged. Singh et al. [5] have explained supply chain model, In this study they assumed that the supply chain consisted of one manufacturer, multi retailers and distributors as the supply chain members. Seasonal products deteriorate very fast and after sales season these become useless and the deterioration rate is controlled by item preservation technology. Using this concept Khedlekar et al.[24] suggested an inventory model for pricing strategies, under the declining demand of an item by using preservation technology.

Nowadays is it need to reuse the product is going felt, on the basis of this concept Shah et al. [23] developed a closed-loop supply chain inventory model which consisted of one manufacturer and one retailer. In this article, they assumed the manufacturer and retailer both optimize their own profit by product retailing and recycling and play a social responsibility. The study is analysed in two different frameworks first one is centralized and second one is decentralized. Panda et al.[22]

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

suggested a three-layer echelon supply chain model which consisted a single manufacturer, multidistributor and multi-retailer. In this study a systematic co-ordination strategy is formed and benefit benefit-sharing contract is made for all supply chain members for deteriorating single products. In the production system disruption is a common phenomenon in real life. Shukla and Khedlekar[11] designed an inventory model for convertible items in which they consider the item that converts one form to more than one another forms by investing conversion cost and time. They optimized the total convertible cost and conversion time for deteriorating products by assuming deterioration rates differ at each convertible stage.



**Figure 1:** Supply Chain Distribution Network

In this article, we consider a three-layer multi-channel and multi-echelon supply chain in which a single manufacturer occupies the first echelon stage, second stages are occupied by multi distributors and third stages are also occupied by multi-retailers (Fig.1). Initially, the manufacturer provides a fixed lot of a single item to the  $j^{\text{th}}$   $(j = 12 ... n)$  distributors and  $j^{\text{th}}$  distributors also provide a single item to the  $ij^{th}$   $(i = 123...n_j)$ ,  $(j = 12...n)$  retailers, where each retailer is associated with to a certain distributor. The total demand all retailers of single item is fulfilled by all distributors and the total demand of all distributors' is fulfilled by the single manufacturer. Manufacturers and distributors obey the EOQ delivery policy. The finite replenishment time for retailers must be equally applicable for all distributors as well as manufacturers, therefore we will find replenishment time only for retailers.

This research aims to find optimal replenishment time, selling price, and initial lot size for retailers in a centralized and decentralized framework under the demand of retailer's end-suggested selling

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[(e)</sup> **ISSN:** 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

price by the manufacturer of the item. The demand is a linearly declining function of time, and selling price. Furthermore, it has been also assumed that a holding cost is shared among the retailers and distributors.

# **2 Notations and Assumptions**

The following notations are used in this model.

(i)	$\boldsymbol{s}^m$ :	Maximum selling price per unit item suggested by the manufacturer.				
(ii)	$d_{ij}^R$ :	$ijth$ retailer's demand per unit time per unit of the item.				
(iii)	$d_i^D$ :	$jth$ distributor's demand per unit time per unit of the item.				
(iv)	$d^M:$	Manufacture's demand per unit time per unit item.				
(v)	$s_{ij}^R$ :	Selling price per unit item for $i jth$ retailerina decentralized framework,				
		where $s_{ii}^R > W_i^d$ .				
(vi) $s_{ij}^{RC}$ :		Selling price per unit item for $ij^{\text{th}}$ retailer in centralized framework.				
(vii) $w_i^d$ :		Distributor's wholesale price per unit item, where $w_j^d > w^m$ .				
(viii) $w^m$ :		Manufacturer's wholesale price per unit item, where $w^m > c$ .				
(ix)	$\emph{c}$ :	Production cost per unit item for manufacturer.				
(x)	$z_{ij}^R$ :	$ij^{th}$ retailer's average profit in a decentralized framework.				
$(xi)$ $z_i^D$ :		$jth$ distributor's average profit in decentralized framework.				
(xii) $z^M$ :		Manufacturer's average profit in a decentralized framework.				
(xiii)	$\boldsymbol{n}$ :	Number of distributors.				
$(xiv)$ $ni$ :		Number of retailers.				
$(XV)$ $Z^c$ :		Average profit of the whole channelin a centralized framework.				
$(xvi)$ $\beta$ :		Difference coefficient of $s_{ij}^R$ and $s^m$ , when either $s_{ij}^R \geq s^m$ or $s_{ij}^R \leq s^m$ .				
$(xvii)$ $\alpha$ :		Price sensitive parameter of demand function.				
$(xviii)$ T:		Finite time horizon.				
	(xix) $q_{ii}^{r}(t)$ :	Initial lot size of $ij^{\text{th}}$ retailer's end.				
$\left( xx\right)$	$q_i^d(t)$ :	Initial lot size of $jth$ distributor's end.				
	$(xxi)$ $q^m(t)$ :	Initial lot size of manufacturer.				
$(xxii)$ $\delta$ :		Holding cost sharing coefficient.				
$(xxiii)$ h:		Holding cost per unit item per unit time.				

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[@] W</sup> [SSN: 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

#### **Assumptions**

The following assumptions are made for this model

- (i) Demand per unit time of the item in the market is  $d_{ij}^R = a_{ij} b_{ij} t \alpha s_{ij}^R + \beta (s^m s_{ij}^R)$ , is a linear declining function of  $t$ , selling price and difference coefficient of suggested selling price and selling price, where  $a_{ij}$  is initial demand scale parameter,  $\beta$  is difference coefficient of  $s^m$  and  $s_{ij}^R$ ,  $a_{ij} > 0$ ,  $b_{ij} > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $0 \le t \le T$ .
- (ii) Holding costs are constant and shared among distributors and retailers.

(iii) 
$$
a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} a_{ij} b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} b_{ij}
$$
 and  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$ ,  $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij}$ 

- (iv)There is no competitive environment among second and third echelon stages.
- (v) Finite interval time  $T$  is evaluated only for retailers and which is equally applicable onwhole supply chain.

## **3. Individual Pricing Strategy**

In this framework the manufacturer acts as a Stackelberg leader, distributors and retailers act as followers of manufacturer. Although, the manufacturer is the stakleberg leader of supply chain, but he cannot determine selling price of the item, he may only suggest a selling price publicly, at which the item is expected to be sold. In common parlance, such selling price is called maximum suggested selling price (mssp). Because all channel members are located sequentially in the different echelon stage, they can independently decide to optimize their individual goals. As per wholesale price, demand of the item and based on known information, retailers' may take own strategic decision about his goal. Therefore, the retailers' model could be formulated first as follows.

# **3.1. Retailers' Individual Pricing Strategy**

Manufacturer knows well about the specification of their item and related manufacturing expenditure. Therefore, manufacturer determines the maximum selling price at which the item expected to be sold. The maximum suggested selling price is generally printed on packet or tag of the item. Generally, as per the market situations and quality of item, consumers are either satisfied or dissatisfied with manufacturer's determined retail price. Let a manufacturer provides stock of the item to the n distributors  $D_{1j}$ ,  $D_{2j}$ ,  $D_{3j}$ , ...  $D_{nj}$ . Distributors also provide lots of the item to the  $R_{11}, R_{12}, R_{13}, ... R_{nn}$  retailers respectively. Let *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer receives the stock, at time  $t, t \in [0, T]$ .

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

The rate of change in the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  retailer's initial lot size  $q_{ij}^R$  units of item of  $ij^{\text{th}}$  retailer. At any time t following nonlinear equation represents the inventory status for  $ij^{th}$  retailer

$$
\frac{dI_{ij}^R(t)}{dt} = -d_{ij}^R
$$
, where  $0 \le t \le T$  (1)

with initial condition  $I_{ij}^R(t) = 0$ , at  $t = T$ , where  $i = 1,2,3,...,n_j$  and  $j = 1,2,3,...,n$ , Equation (1) yields

$$
I_{ij}^{R}(t) = a_{ij}(T-t) - \frac{b_{ij}(T^{2}-t^{2})}{2} + (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R}(t-T) + \beta s^{m}(T-t)
$$
 (2)

The initial inventory level  $I_{ij}^R(0) = q_{ij}^r$  for  $ij^{th}$  retailer's end at finite time  $t = 0$ , where  $t \in [0, T]$ will be

$$
I_{ij}^{R}(0) = q_{ij}^{R} = a_{ij}T - \frac{b_{ij}T^{2}}{2} - (\alpha + \beta)s_{ij}^{R}T + \beta s^{m}T
$$
\n(3)

The total sales revenue  $SR_{ij}^r$  in the replenishment time period [0, T] could be formulated as

$$
SR_{ij}^{R} = \int_{0}^{T} s_{ij}^{R} q_{ij}^{R} dt
$$
  

$$
SR_{ij}^{R} = s_{ij}^{R} \left( a_{ij} T - \frac{b_{ij} T^{2}}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R} T + \beta s^{m} T \right)
$$
 (4)

Purchase expenditure  $PE_{ij}^R$  of  $ij^{th}$  retailer is

$$
PE_{ij}^{R} = \int_{0}^{T} w_{j}^{d} q_{ij}^{R} dt
$$
  
\n
$$
PE_{ij}^{R} = w_{j}^{d} \left( a_{ij} T - \frac{b_{ij} T^{2}}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R} T + \beta s^{m} T \right)
$$
\n(5)

The inventory holding expenditure  $IHE_{ij}^R$  of  $ij^{th}$  retailer is

$$
IHE_{ij}^{R} = h \int_{0}^{T} I_{ij}^{R}(t)dt
$$
  
\n
$$
IHE_{ij}^{R} = h \left(\frac{a_{ij}T^{2}}{2} - \frac{b_{ij}T^{3}}{3} - (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R} \frac{T^{2}}{2} + \beta s^{m} \frac{T^{2}}{2}\right)
$$
\n(6)

Hence, the average profit function per unit time is

$$
z_{ij}^R = \begin{bmatrix} \left(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d\right) \left\{a_{ij} - \frac{b_{ij}T}{2} - (\alpha + \beta)s_{ij}^R + \beta s^m\right\} \\ -h\delta\left(\frac{a_{ij}T}{2} - \frac{b_{ij}T^2}{3} - (\alpha + \beta)s_{ij}^R \frac{T}{2} + \beta s^m \frac{T}{2}\right) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(7)

**Proposition 3.1** If the demand of items is uniformly at all retailer's end with respect to time  $T$  and  $s_{ij}^R > w_j^d$  (*i* = 1,2,3 ... *m* and *j* = 1,2,3 ... *n*). Then the *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function shows concavity in selling price  $s_{ij}^R$  and replenishment time T, if  $\frac{4}{3}(\alpha + \beta)h\delta b_{ij} - \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}(\delta h(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij})^2 > 0.$ **Proof:** The second order partial derivative of  $ij^{th}$  retailer's profit function  $z_{ij}^R$  with respect to  $s_{ij}^R$  and

T respectively are

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[(c)</sup> **IFY** ISSN: 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial s_{ij}^{R2}} = -2(\alpha + \beta)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial s_{ij}^R \partial T} = \frac{h\delta(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij}}{2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial T^2} = -\frac{2b_{ij}h\delta}{3}
$$

Retailer's profit function  $z_{ij}^R$  shows concavity with respect to  $s_{ij}^R$  and T, if the Hessian matrix of  $z_{ij}^r$ , is negative semi definite

$$
HM = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial s_{ij}^{R2}} & \frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial s_{ij}^R \partial T} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial s_{ij}^R \partial T} & \frac{\partial^2 z_{ij}^R}{\partial T^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2(\alpha + \beta) & \frac{h\delta(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij}}{2} \\ \frac{h\delta(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij}}{2} & -\frac{2b_{ij}h\lambda}{3} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(8)

Expansion of Hessian matrix gives  $16(\alpha + \beta)b_{ij}h\delta - 3(\delta h(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij})^2 > 0$ ., if  $\beta > 0, \alpha > 0$ and hence Hessian matrix of  $z_{ij}^R$ , is negative semi definite in  $s_{ij}^R$  and T if  $16(\alpha + \beta)b_{ij}h\delta$  –  $3(\delta h(\alpha + \beta) - b_{ij})^2 > 0.$ 

**Proposition 3.2** If the demand is uniformly decreasing function of suggested price and time at all *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's end and  $s_{ij}^R > w_j^d$  (*i* = 1,2,3 ... *m* and *j* = 1,2,3 ... *n*). Then optimum selling price  $s_{ij}^R$ is given by the equation (9) and optimum replenishment time  $T$  can be find by satisfying the equation (10).

$$
s_{ij}^R = \frac{w_j^d}{2} + \frac{\beta s^m}{2(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\delta hT}{4} + \frac{2a_{ij} - b_{ij}T}{4(\alpha + \beta)}
$$
(9)

$$
(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d) b_{ij} + \delta h (a_{ij} - (\alpha + \beta) b_{ij} + \beta s^m) - \frac{4}{3} b_{ij} \delta h T = 0.
$$
 (10)

**Proof:** Equate to zero the first order partial derivatives of equation (7), yields

$$
(\alpha + \beta)\left(s_{ij}^R - w_{j}^d\right) - \frac{2a_{ij} - b_{ij}T}{2} + (\alpha + \beta)s_{ij}^R - \beta s^m + h\delta(\alpha + \beta)\frac{T}{2} = 0
$$
 (11)

$$
\frac{b_{ij}(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d)}{2} + \delta h \left[ \frac{a_{ij}}{2} - \frac{2b_{ij}T}{3} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)s_{ij}^R}{2} + \frac{\beta s^m}{2} \right] = 0
$$
\n(12)

Solution of these simultaneous equation gives the required results.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

# **3.2. Distributors' Individual Pricing Strategy**

Let  $D_{1j}$ ,  $D_{2j}$ ,  $D_{3j}$ , ...  $D_{nj}$  be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  distributors and the demand of distributors end is a sum of all respective retailer's demand.

**Corollary 3.1** If the coefficient  $b_{ij}$  is uniformly distributive with respect to time and  $a_j =$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} a_{ij} b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} b_{ij}$ , then the demand of items at  $d_j^D$  distributors' end can be determined by the following formula

$$
d_j^D = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} d_{ij}^R = a_j - b_j t - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R + n_j \beta s^m
$$
 (13)

Now at the time t the rate of changes in the  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor's inventory level can be balanced by the sum of all associated retailers' demand which are affiliated to the  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor. Therefore, at any time  $t$ ,  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor's inventory can be represented by the equation

$$
\frac{dI_j^D(t)}{dt} = -d_j^D \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \le t \le \tag{14}
$$

with initial condition  $I_j^D(t) = 0$ , at  $t = T$ , where  $j = 1,2,3,...,n$ , Equation (14) yields

$$
I_j^D(t) = a_j (T - t) - \frac{b_j}{2} (T^2 - t^2) + (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R(t - T) + \beta s^m n_j (T - t) \tag{15}
$$

The initial lot size for  $j^{th}$  retailer at any time  $t = 0$ , where  $t \in [0, T]$  will be

$$
I_j^D(0) = q_j^D = a_j T - \frac{b_j T^2}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R T + \beta p^m n_j T
$$
 (16)

The sales revenue of  $j^{\text{th}}$  distributor  $SR_j^D$  in the finite time interval [0, T] can be find as

$$
SR_j^D = \int_0^T w_j^d q_j^D dt
$$
  

$$
SR_j^D = w_j^d \left( a_j T - \frac{b_j T^2}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R T + \beta s^m T \eta_j \right)
$$
 (17)

Purchase expenditure of  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor is

$$
PE_j^D = \int_0^T w^m d_j^D dt = w^m \left( a_j T - \frac{b_j T^2}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R T + \beta s^m T \eta_j \right) \tag{18}
$$

The inventory holding expenditure  $I H C_j^d$  for  $j^{th}$  distributor is

$$
IHE_j^D = h \int_0^T I_j^D(t)dt = h\left(\frac{a_jT^2}{2} - \frac{b_jT^3}{6} - (\alpha + \beta)\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \frac{p_{ij}^r T^2}{2} + \frac{\beta s^m n_j T^2}{2}\right) \tag{19}
$$

Hence the average profit function per unit time for  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor is

$$
z_j^D = \begin{bmatrix} (w_j^d - w^m) \left\{ a_j - \frac{b_j T}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} s_{ij}^R + \beta s^m \eta_j \right\} \\ -h(1 - \delta) \left( \frac{a_j T}{2} - \frac{b_j T^2}{6} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \frac{s_{ij}^R T}{2} + \frac{\beta s^m n_j T}{2} \right) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(20)

© 2023 by The Author(s). <sup>[(e)</sup> **ISSN:** 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

**Proposition 3.3** If the demand is uniformly decreasing function of suggested price and time T at all  $j^{\text{th}}$  distributors' end and  $w_j^d > w^m (j = 1, 2, 3 \dots n)$ . Then the optimum whole sale price  $w_j^d$  is given by the equation (21).

$$
w_j^d = \left[\frac{w^m}{2} + \frac{2a_j - b_jT}{4(\alpha + \beta)n_j} - \frac{\delta hT}{4} - \frac{\beta s^m}{2(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{h(1-\delta)}{2}\right]
$$
(21)

**Proof:** Partial differentiation of equation (20) with respect to  $w_j^d$  yields

$$
\frac{\partial z_j^d}{\partial d_j^d} = \left[ -\frac{(w_j^d - w^m)n_j(\alpha + \beta)}{2} + \frac{2a_j - b_jT}{4} - \frac{w_j^dn_j(\alpha + \beta)}{2} + \frac{\partial z_j^d}{2} - \frac{\beta s^m n_j(\alpha + \beta)}{2} \right]
$$

At the optimum value of  $w_j^d$ ,  $\frac{\partial z_j^d}{\partial w_j^d}$  $rac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial w_j^d} = 0$  i.e.

$$
\left[ -\frac{(w_j^d - w^m) n_j (\alpha + \beta)}{2} + \frac{2a_j - b_j T}{4} - \frac{w_j^d n_j (\alpha + \beta)}{2} \right] = 0
$$
\n
$$
-\frac{\beta s^m n_j}{2} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta) h \delta n_j T}{4} + \frac{h(1 - \delta) n_j (\alpha + \beta)}{2} = 0
$$
\n(22)

Solution of equation (22), yields

$$
w_j^d = \frac{w^m}{2} + \frac{2a_j - b_jT}{4(\alpha + \beta)n_j} - \frac{\delta hT}{4} - \frac{\beta s^m}{2(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{h(1-\delta)}{2}
$$
(23)

Also, profit function  $z_j^d$  shows optimality with respect to  $w_j^d$ , because

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z_j^d}{\partial w_j^{d^2}} = -n_j(\alpha + \beta) \tag{24}
$$

for, if  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

# **3.3. Manufacturer's Individual Pricing Strategy**

Manufacturer provides a initial lot size of items to all distributors as per their demands.

**Corollary 3.2** If the coefficient  $b_j$  is uniformly distributive with respect to T and  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{ij}$ , then the demand of items at manufacturer's end can be determined by the following formula

$$
d^{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j}^{D} = a - bt - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{ij}^{R} + m\beta s^{m}
$$
 (25)

The rate of changes in the manufacturer's inventory level is balanced by all  $j<sup>th</sup>$  distributor's demand. At any movement t manufacturer's inventory level can be represented as

$$
\frac{dI^{m}(t)}{dt} = -d^{M} \quad \text{, where} \quad 0 \le t \le T \tag{26}
$$

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[(e)</sup> **ISSN:** 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

with boundary condition  $I^m(t) = 0$ , at  $t = T$ . Solution of equation (26) yields

$$
I^{m}(t) = a(T-t) - \frac{b(T^{2}-t^{2})}{2} + (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{ij}^{R}(t-T) + \beta s^{m} m(T-t) (27)
$$

The initial lot size for manufacturer at time  $t = 0$ , where  $t \in [0, T]$  is

$$
I^{m}(0) = q^{m} = aT - \frac{bT^{2}}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{ij}^{R} T + \beta s^{m} m T
$$
 (28)

The sales revenue in the finite time  $[0, T]$  can be determined as

$$
SR^m = \int_0^T w^m d^M dt = w^m \left( aT - \frac{bT^2}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}^R T + \beta s^m T m \right) (29)
$$

Manufacturing expenditure for manufacturer is

$$
MC^{m} = c \int_0^T d^M dt = c \left( aT - \frac{bT^2}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}^R T + \beta p_m T m \right)
$$
(30)

Hence the average profit function  $z^m$  per unit time for manufacturer is

$$
z^{m} = (w^{m} - c) \left[ a - \frac{b^{T}}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{ij}^{R} + \beta s^{m} m \right]
$$
(31)

**Proposition 3.4** If the demand is uniformly decreasing function of suggested price and time T at manufacturer's end and  $w^m > c$ . Then the optimum whole sale price  $w^m$  is given by the equation (32).

$$
w^m = \frac{c}{2} + \frac{2a - bT}{4(\alpha + \beta)m} + \frac{3\beta s^m}{2(\alpha + \beta)} - \frac{\delta hT}{4} - \frac{h(1-\delta)}{2(\alpha + \beta)}
$$
(32)

**Proof:** Partial differentiation of equation (31) with respect to  $w^m$  yields

$$
\frac{\partial z^{m}}{\partial w^{m}} = \left[ -\frac{(w^{m} - c)(\alpha + \beta)m}{4} + \alpha - \frac{bT}{2} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)w^{m}m}{4} - \frac{2a - bT}{8} - \frac{m\beta s^{m}}{4} - \frac{\delta(\alpha + \beta)hm}{8} - \frac{2a - bT}{4} - \frac{(1 - \delta)hm}{4} + m\beta s^{m} \right]
$$
(33)

At the optimum value of  $w^m$ ,  $\frac{\partial z^m}{\partial w^m} = 0$ , i.e.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n-\frac{(w^m - c)(\alpha + \beta)m}{4} + \alpha - \frac{bT}{2} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)w^m m}{4} - \frac{2a - bT}{8} \\
-\frac{m\beta s^m}{4} - \frac{\delta(\alpha + \beta)h mT}{8} - \frac{2a - bT}{4} - \frac{(1 - \delta)hm}{4} + m\beta s^m\n\end{bmatrix} = 0
$$
\n(34)

Solution of the equation (34) yields (32) Also profit function  $z^m$  shows optimality with respect to

#### $w^m$ , we have

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[(e)</sup> **ISSN:** 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z_j^d}{\partial w^{m^2}} = \frac{-n(\alpha + \beta)}{2}
$$

for if  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

## **4. Collective Pricing Strategy**

In this pricing strategy, the whole supply chain members work together as a single unit and all the members of supply chain cooperate perfectly to each other to maximize the performance of supply chain. The manufacturer is a leader of whole supply chain as a single decision maker and all decisions are equally applicable to the whole supply chain members. Therefore, for optimization of whole channel's profit he can take all decisions.

## **4.1. The Model**

Let  $s_{ij}^{RC}$  is a selling price of ij<sup>th</sup> retailer,  $w_j^d$  is a whole sale price of j<sup>th</sup> distributor,  $w^m$  is a whole sale price of manufacturer, c is the manufacturing cost,  $I H C_{ij}^r$  is the holding cost of ij<sup>th</sup> retailer and *IHC*<sup>d</sup> is holding cost of  $j^{\text{th}}$  distributor, then the profit function is

$$
Z^{c} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{j}} [(s_{ij}^{RC} - w_{j}^{d})d_{ij}^{R} - \delta(HC_{ij}^{R})]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} [(w_{j}^{d} - w^{m})d_{j}^{D} - (1 - \lambda)IHC_{j}^{D}] + (w^{m} - c)d^{m}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [(p_{ij}^{r} - c)d_{ij}^{R} - (IHC_{ij}^{R})]
$$

Hence the average profit function  $z^c$  per unit time is

$$
z^{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (s_{ij}^{R} - c) \left( a_{ij} - \frac{b_{ij}T}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R} + \beta s^{m} \right) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h \left( \frac{a_{ij}T}{2} - \frac{b_{ij}T^{2}}{3} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R}T}{2} + \frac{\beta s^{m}T}{2} \right) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(35)

**Proposition 4.1** If the demand of items is uniformly at all retailer's end with respect to time  $T$  and  $s_{ij}^{RC} > c(i = 1,2,3...m$  and  $j = 1,2,3...n$ . Then the whole supply chain profit function shows concavity in selling price  $s_{ij}^{RC}$  and replenishment time T, if  $-\frac{4}{3}$  $\frac{4}{3}(\alpha + \beta)hbm - \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}(h(\alpha+\beta)m (b)^2 > 0.$ 

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

**Proof:** Using equation (35), the second order partial derivatives in selling  $s_{jk}^{RC}$ , and time T of the profit function respectively are

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial s_{ij}^{RC^2}} = -2m(\alpha + \beta) \tag{36}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial s_{ij}^{RC} \partial T} = \left(\frac{h(\alpha + \beta)m}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\right) \tag{37}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial T^2} = \frac{2bh}{3} \tag{38}
$$

the profit function  $z^c$  must be jointly concave with respect to  $s_{ij}^{RC}$  and T, if the Hessian matrix of profit function  $z^c$ , is negative semi definite

$$
HM = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial s_{ij}^{rc^2}} & \frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial p_{ij}^{RC} \partial T} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial p_{ij}^{RC} \partial T} & \frac{\partial^2 z^c}{\partial T^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2bh}{3} & \left(\frac{h(\alpha+\beta)m}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\right) \\ \left(\frac{h(\alpha+\beta)m}{2} - \frac{b}{2}\right) & -2m(\alpha+\beta) \end{bmatrix}
$$
(39)

Hence, if  $-\frac{4}{3}$  $\frac{4}{3}(\alpha + \beta)hbm - \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}(h(\alpha + \beta)m - b)^2 > 0$ , then the Hessian matrix of the profit  $\pi^c$ , must be negative semi definite and thus the profit function  $\pi^c$  is jointly concave in  $p_{ij}^{rc}$  and T. Hence proved it.

**Proposition 4.2** If the demand is uniformly decreasing function of suggested price and time  $T$  at all *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's end and  $s_{ij}^{RC} > c(i = 1,2,3...m)$  and  $j = 1,2,3...n$ ). Then optimum selling price  $s_{ij}^{RC}$ is given by the equation (40) and optimum replenishment time  $T$  can be find by satisfying the equation (41).

$$
s_{ij}^{RC} = \frac{c}{2} + \frac{2a_{ij} - b_{ij}T}{4(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{\beta s^m}{2(\alpha + \beta)} + \frac{hT}{4}
$$
(40)

and

$$
\frac{s_{ij}^{RC}b_{ij}}{2} - \frac{c b_{ij}}{2} + \frac{a_{ij}h}{2} - \frac{2b_{ij}Th}{3} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)h s_{ij}^{RC}}{2} + \frac{\beta s^m h}{2} = 0
$$
\n(41)

**Proof:**Equate to zero the first order partial derivatives of equation (35), yields

$$
\frac{\partial z^{c}}{\partial s_{ij}^{RC}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (s_{ij}^{R} - c) \left( a_{ij} - \frac{b_{ij}T}{2} - (\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R} + \beta s^{m} \right) \\ \left\{ -(\alpha + \beta) \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h(\alpha + \beta) \frac{T}{2} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(42)

© 2023 by The Author(s). <sup>[(e)</sup> **ISSN:** 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

$$
\frac{\partial z^{c}}{\partial \mathbf{T}} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( s_{ij}^{R} - c \right) \left( -\frac{b_{ij}}{2} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h \left( \frac{a_{ij}}{2} - \frac{2b_{ij} \mathbf{T}}{3} - \frac{(\alpha + \beta) s_{ij}^{R}}{2} + \frac{\beta s^{m}}{2} \right) \right] = 0
$$
\n(43)

Solution of these simultaneous gives the required results.

#### **5. Numerical Example**

For illustration of this model, we considered a three-layer echelon supply chain which consists a single manufacturer M, two distributors  $(D_1, D_2)$  and four retailers  $(R_{11}, R_{12}, R_{21}$  and  $R_{22})$ respectively at each echelon stages. As per Fig.1, each retailer is associated with particular distributor. We consider the following data set for individual and collective pricing strategies, the demand scale parameters are  $a_{11} = 1588$ ,  $a_{12} = 1585$ ,  $a_{21} = 1590$ ,  $a_{22} = 1581$ ,  $b_{11} = 0.01$ ,  $b_{12} =$ 0.01,  $b_{21} = 0.01$ ,  $b_{22} = 0.01$  units, suggested selling price  $s^m = 1025$ , price sensitive  $\alpha = 0.9$ ,  $\delta = 0.01$ ,  $h = 0.03$ ,  $s_c = 50$ , coefficient of  $\beta = 0.15$  and manufacturing cost is  $c = 950$ . The model's optimum outputs are shown in the following tables.

**Table 1: Individual Pricing Strategy**

<b>Optimal</b> $R_{11}$ $R_{12}$		$R_{13}$ $R_{14}$		$D_1$	D <sub>2</sub>	М	
			Price 1507.27 1506.16 1508.31 1504.01 1357.12 1356.6 1352.35				
	Time 425 420 430	428					
	EOQ 66721.22 64231.1961889.01 65651.52						
			Profit 23471.22 23203.6 22143.59 22508.25616724.9 580804.4 2160082.95				

## **Table 2:Collective Pricing Strategies**



*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

# **5.1 Sensitivity Analysis**

**Corollary 5.1**  $ij^{th}$  retailer's profit function is strictly increasing function for the coefficient $\beta$ i.e., $\frac{\partial z_{ij}^R}{\partial \beta}$  $\frac{\partial z_{ij}^n}{\partial \beta} > 0$ , if  $\left( \left( s_{ij}^R - w_j^D \right) - \frac{h \delta T}{2} \right)$  $\left(\frac{\delta T}{2} < 0\right)$  and  $\left(s^m - s_{ij}^R\right) < 0$ .

 $i j<sup>th</sup>$  retailer's profit function shows positive behaviour with respect to the increment of the coefficient  $\beta$ , if  $\delta$ ,  $h$ ,  $T$ ,  $s_i^R$ ,  $w_j^D$  must follow the identity $\frac{h\delta T}{2}$  >  $(s_m - w_j^D)$ , otherwise increment of the coefficient  $\beta$ , impacted negatively on the *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function. If  $\delta$ , hare constants then T can be taken in the interval  $T \in \left(\frac{3(s^m - w_j^d)}{s!}\right)$  $\frac{m_{f,f}}{\delta h}$ ,  $\infty$  ).

**Corollary 5.2***ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function is strictly decreasing function for the coefficient  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $\partial z_{ij}^R$  $\frac{\partial z_{ij}^{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha}$  < 0, if  $\left( s_m - s_{ij}^R \right)$  < 0 and  $\left( \frac{h \delta T}{2} \right)$  $\frac{01}{2} - 1$ ) >.

 $i j<sup>th</sup>$  retailer's profit function shows negative behaviour with respect to the increment of the coefficient  $\alpha$ , if  $\delta$ ,  $h$ ,  $T$ ,  $s_i^R$  ,  $w_j^D$ must follow the identity  $(s_m - s_{ij}^R) < 0$  and  $\left(\frac{h \delta T}{2}\right)$  $\frac{01}{2} - 1 > 0.$ 

It reveals that the suggested selling price by manufacturer is always less than the  $i j<sup>th</sup>$  retailer's selling price.

Corollary 5.3ij<sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function is strictly increasing function for the initial demand  $a_{ij}$  i.e.  $\frac{\partial z_{ij}^R}{\partial a_{ij}}$  $\frac{\partial z_{ij}^{\alpha}}{\partial a_{ij}} > 0$ , if  $\left( s_{ij}^R - w_j^d \right) > \frac{h \delta T}{2}$  $\frac{01}{2}$ .

Result of corollary 5.3 shows that the  $ij<sup>th</sup>$  retailer's can bene\_ted by growing market of an item,

he can maintain the business hour in the interval  $T \in \left(0, \frac{2(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d)}{s_{ij}}\right)$  $\frac{n}{\delta h}$ 

**Corollary 5.4** $ij$ <sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function is strictly increasing function for the coefficient bij, i.e.

$$
\frac{\partial z_{ij}^R}{\partial a_{ij}} > 0, \text{ if} \frac{h \delta T}{3} > \frac{\left(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d\right)}{2}.
$$

Result of corollary 5.4 reveals that replenishment time T is taken from the interval  $T \in$  $\left(\frac{3(s_{ij}^R-w_j^d)}{2}\right)$  $\left(\frac{-w_j}{2}, \infty\right)$  then the *ij*<sup>th</sup> retailer's profit function shows positive behaviour for the coefficient $b_{ij}$  in lineardeclining market demand of the items.

As per the above discussion, the following conclusions are drawn

**a.** The suggested selling price can be helpful to increase the selling price if it can be kept alwaysless than retailers' selling price and greater than wholesale price.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

- **b.** The reorder frequency could be increased to increase the business, if the suggested sellingprice would be equal to wholesale price of distributors.
- **c.** We can protect the profit function from the negative influence of alpha if we follow the identities  $(s^m - s_{ij}^R) < 0$  and  $\left(\frac{h\delta T}{2}\right)$  $\frac{01}{2} - 1 > 0.$
- **d.** The benefit of the initial demand of the item will be available only if we maintain the

replenishment time in the interval  $T \in \left(0, \frac{2(s_{ij}^R - w_j^d)}{s_{ij}}\right)$  $\frac{n(j)}{\delta h}$ .

# **6. Conclusion**

We have designed a three-layer coordinated multi-channel echelon supply chain for two different pricing strategies:the first one is individual, and the second one is collective. This study aims to decide which pricing strategy performs better for all supply chain members in a noncompetitive environment. We have found an optimal profit for all supply chain members by using selling price and finite replenishment time for retailer's end as decision variable in both individual and collective strategies. We have also optimized initial lot size for retailer's wholesale prices for manufacturers and distributors. The suggestions are given by in forms of propositions and numerical examples. This study's theoretical and practical contribution is how to make coordination strategies among multi-echelon supply chain members time-dependent linear decreasing demand. This study is recommended for the inventory manager of supply chain to make a contract to share total profit among manufacturers, distributors and retailers better outcomes.Study concluded that a individual pricing strategy performing better than collective strategy, therefore it is beneficial for managerial purpose in practice. Based on sensitivity analysis, the manufacturer should maintain the suggested selling price less than actual selling price.

The future research scope of this study can be extended by using competitive environment among echelon members. One can be extended this model by incorporating trade credit financing scheme. Model may be also extended by incorporating marketing efforts at retailers' end. It can also be extended by incorporating promotional cost sharing among manufacturers and retailers or manufacturers and distributors.

**Funding Source:**This research did not receive any specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## **Acknowledgments**

We are extremely grateful to the editor and the anonymous reviewer for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have helped improve the quality of our manuscript.

<sup>© 2023</sup> by The Author(s). <sup>[ce] PY</sup> ISSN: 1307-1637 International journal of economic perspectives is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

#### **References**

- [1] Shaikh, A. A., Cárdenas-Barrón, L. E., Manna, A. K., Céspedes-Mota, A., & Treviño-Garza, G. (2021). Two level trade credit policy approach in inventory model with expiration rate and stock dependent demand under nonzero inventory and partial backlogged shortages. *Sustainability*, *13*(23), 13493 (1-19).
- [2] Lau, A. H. L., & Lau, H. S. (2003). Effects of a demand-curve's shape on the optimal solutions of a multi-echelon inventory/pricing model. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *147*(3), 530-548.
- [3] Gunasekaran, A., & Kobu, B. (2007). Performance measures and metrics in logistics and supply chain management: a review of recent literature (1995–2004) for research and applications. *International journal of production research*, *45*(12), 2819-2840.
- [4] Nigwal, A., Khedlekar, U. K., Sharma, L., & Gupta, N. (2022). Trade Credit Policies for Supplier, Manufacturer, and Retailer: An Imperfect Production-Inventory System with Rework. *Journal of Mathematical & Fundamental Sciences*, *54*(1).
- [5] Singh, K., Sharma, K. C., Hariom, & Singh, D. (2023). An Analysis of the Supply Chain Model for Production System Under Selling Price Demand Rate, Controllable Deterioration Rate With Several Market Demand. Communications in Mathematics and Applications, 14(2), 845–860.
- [6] Giri, B. C., Majhi, J. K., Bardhan, S., & Chaudhuri, K. S. (2021). Coordinating a three-level supply chain with effort and price dependent stochastic demand under random yield. *Annals of Operations Research*, *307*, 175-206.
- [7] Pal, B., Sana, S. S., & Chaudhuri, K. (2014). Three stage trade credit policy in a three-layer supply chain–a production-inventory model. *International Journal of Systems Science*, *45*(9), 1844-1868.
- [8] Pal, B., Sarkar, A., & Sarkar, B. (2023). Optimal decisions in a dual-channel competitive green supply chain management under promotional effort. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *211*, 118315.
- [9] Chan, C. K., Wong, W. H., Langevin, A., & Lee, Y. C. E. (2017). An integrated productioninventory model for deteriorating items with consideration of optimal production rate and deterioration during delivery. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *189*, 1-13.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

- [10] Chang, C. T., Ouyang, L. Y., & Teng, J. T. (2003). An EOQ model for deteriorating items under supplier credits linked to ordering quantity. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, *27*(12), 983-996.
- [11] Shukla, D., & Khedlekar, U. K. (2016). Inventory model for convertible item with deterioration. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, *45*(4), 1137-1147.
- [12] Singh, D., Jayswal, A., Alharbi, M. G., & Shaikh, A. A. (2021). An investigation of a supply chain model for co-ordination of finished products and raw materials in a production system under different situations. *Sustainability*, *13*(22), 12601 (1-21).
- [13] Lin, F., Wu, P., Shi, J., Tao, J., & Zhuo, X. (2022). Impacts of expiration date on optimal ordering policy for deteriorating items under two-level trade credit: Quantity loss and quality loss. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *73*(6), 1393-1410.
- [14] Huang, Y. F. (2003). Optimal retailer's ordering policies in the EOQ model under trade credit financing. *Journal of the Operational research society*, *54*(9), 1011-1015.
- [15] Hariom, Sharma K. C. Singh, D. Singh (2023). Inventory Model for Time-Dependent Linear Demand with Three Levels of Production with Shortage. Journal of Harbin Eng. Univ., 44(8), 2025-2038.
- [16] Cárdenas-Barrón, L. E., & Sana, S. S. (2015). Multi-item EOQ inventory model in a twolayer supply chain while demand varies with promotional effort. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, *39*(21), 6725-6737.
- [17] Ben-Daya, M., As'Ad, R., & Seliaman, M. (2013). An integrated production inventory model with raw material replenishment considerations in a three layer supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *143*(1), 53-61.
- [18] Parlar, M., & Wang, Q. (1994). Discounting decisions in a supplier-buyer relationship with a linear buyer's demand. *IIE transactions*, *26*(2), 34-41.
- [19] Modak, N. M., Panda, S., & Sana, S. S. (2016). Pricing policy and coordination for a distribution channel with manufacturer suggested retail price. *International Journal of Systems Science: Operations & Logistics*, *3*(2), 92-101.
- [20] Feng, B., Hu, X., & Orji, I. J. (2023). Multi-tier supply chain sustainability in the pulp and paper industry: a framework and evaluation methodology. *International Journal of Production Research*, *61*(14), 4657-4683.

*International Journal of Economic Perspectives***,** *17***(10) 38-56 Retrieved fromhttps://ijeponline.com/index.php/journal**

- [21] Mahata, P., Mahata, G. C., & Mukherjee, A. (2019). An ordering policy for deteriorating items with price-dependent iso-elastic demand under permissible delay in payments and price inflation. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, *25*(6), 575-601.
- [22] Panda, S., Modak, N. M., & Cárdenas-Barrón, L. E. (2017). Coordination and benefit sharing in a three-echelon distribution channel with deteriorating product. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *113*, 630-645.
- [23] Shah, H. M., Gardas, B. B., Narwane, V. S., & Mehta, H. S. (2023). The contemporary state of big data analytics and artificial intelligence towards intelligent supply chain risk management: a comprehensive review. *Kybernetes*, *52*(5), 1643-1697.
- [24] Khedlekar, U. K., Nigwal, A., Khedlekar, N. K., & Patel, H. K. (2021). Integrated three- layer supply chain inventory model for price sensitive and time dependent demand with suggested retail price by manufacturer. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, *12*(1), 1135-1152.
- [25] Xu, X., Zhang, M., Dou, G., & Yu, Y. (2023). Coordination of a supply chain with an online platform considering green technology in the blockchain era. *International Journal of Production Research*, *61*(11), 3793-3810.
- [26] Weng, Z. K. (1995). Modeling quantity discounts under general price-sensitive demand functions: optimal policies and relationships. *European journal of operational research*, *86*(2), 300-314.
- [27] Song, Z., & He, S. (2019). Contract coordination of new fresh produce three-layer supply chain. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, *119*(1), 148-169.